



ALTA 2012 NICKEL/COBALT/COPPER CONFERENCE

MAY 28-30, 2012 BURSWOOD CONVENTION CENTRE PERTH, AUSTRALIA





ALTA Metallurgical Services Melbourne, Victoria, Australia

PROCEEDINGS OF NICKEL-COBALT-COPPER SESSIONS AT ALTA 2012 MAY 28-30, 2012, PERTH, AUSTRALIA

ALTA 2012 Free Paper

A Publication of ALTA Metallurgical Services Level 13, 200 Queen Street Melbourne Victoria 3000 Australia www.altamet.com.au

ISBN: 978-0-9871262-3-8

All rights reserved

The content of the papers is the sole responsibility of the authors

To purchase a copy of this or other publications visit www.altamet.com.au/publications

CONTENTS

Processing of Laterites

ALTA 2012 Free Pager Comparison of Various Methods for Calculating Cash Costs - Focus on Nickel Industry Peter Jolly, Highland Pacific Ltd, Australia

> Nickel Laterite and the Three Mineral Acids Mike Dry, Arithmetek Inc.& Bryn Harris, Neomet Inc., Canada

Precipitation of Base Metals with MgO Christoph Pawlick & Seshree Pillay, Mintek, South Africa

Developments and Trends In Hydrometallurgical Processing of Nickel Laterites Boyd Willis, Boyd Willis Hydromet Consulting, Australia

Continued Developments in Carbon Friendly Nickel Processing: Better Project Returns with Reduced Carbon Emissions

Simon Willis, Sarah Mitchel & Brett Muller, Simulus Engineers, Australia

Laterite Projects

Scandium Breathes New Life Into Old Greenvale Nickel Mine Kevin Pery, Gavin Becker & Andrew Gillies, Metallica Minerals Ltd, & Peter Mason, Metallurgical Consultant, Australia

Recovery of Nickel and Cobalt from the Weda Bay Lateritic Deposit (Halmahera, Indonesia) Using an Atmospheric Leaching process

Bertrand Berthomieu, PT Weda Bay Nickel, Indonesia; Antoine Dissaux, Eramet Nickel Division, & Yves Le Quesne. Eramet Research. France

Process Technology

Advanced Controls for Heap Leach Drum Agglomeration Graeme Miller, Miller Metallurgical Services, Australia

Topsøe Wet Gas Sulphuric Acid (WSA) Technology – A Feasible Way to Handle SO₂ Gases Erik Eriksson, Haldor Topsøe A/S, Denmark

Electrowinning

Novel DSA[®] Anode for Electrowinning of Non-Ferrous Metals Carl Brown, De Nora Tech, Inc., USA; Antonio Lorenzo Antozzi & Alice Calderara, Industrie De Nora, Italy

Developments in Nickel Electrowinning Cellhouse Design

Tim Robinson, Republic Alternative Technologies Pty Ltd, USA, Frank Crundwell, CM Solutions (Pty) Ltd, South Africa, Masatsugu Morimitsu, Doshish University, Japan, & Lauri Palmu, Talvivaara Mining Company Plc. Finland

IX/SX

Use of Molecular Recognition Technology to Achieve High Purity Cobalt, Nickel and Copper Neil Izatt, Ronald Bruening & Steven Izatt, IBC Advanced Technologies Inc., USA

Elution Strategies for Recovery of Nickel and Cobalt from Laterite Tails through Scavenging Resin-in-Pulp

Patrick Littlejohn & James Vaughan, University of Queensland; & Aleks Nikoloski, Murdoch University, Australia

Hydrometallurgical Nickel Laterite Processing: A Review of Current SX Flowsheets and Industry Trends

Adam Fischmann, Shane Wiggett, Troy Bednarski, Violina Cocalia and Cyril Bourget, Cytec Industries Inc., USA/Australia/Canada

Recovery of Copper from Strong Chloride Solutions by Solvent Extraction Zhaowu Zhu & Chu Yong Cheng, CSIRO Process Science and Engineering, CSIRO Minerals Down Under National Research Flagship, Australia

Chuquicamata Retrofit and Franke - Recent Cu Solvent Extraction Projects Implemented by Outotec

Jarkko Hakkarainen, Outotec (Finland) Oyj, Finland; Alexis Villarroel, Arturo Sotomayor & Claudio Rodríguez, Outotec (Chile) S.A., Chile

Centrifugation Solution for Crud Treatment in Hydrometallurgy Processes Tore Hartmann, GEA Westfalia Separator Group GmbH, Germany

Flotation

ALTA 2012 Free Pager Flotation of Copper Oxide Ores: A Review from Laboratory Testing to Industrial Practise Graeme Sheldon, AMML, Pty Ltd, Australia

> The Froth Flotation of Nickel Sulphide Ore Using PAX and Its Mixtures with DTC and DTP Walter Amos Ngobeni, Gregory Hangone & Daniel Ikhu-Omoregbe, Cape Peninsula University of Technology, South Africa

Cobalt Recovery

Not just another Cobalt Resource Review of Cobalt Processing Routes and the Value of Testwork

Rogan Roulstone, MDM Engineering, South Africa

The Hydrometallurgy and Activities Around Copper and Cobalt Recovery in the African Copperbelt

Christoph Pawlick & Marian Lidall, Mintek, South Africa

Equipment & Materials

The Next generation of Pump Technology in PAL Plants Heinz Naegel & Stefan Willems, presented by Rudolf Gänsl, FELUWA Pumpen GmbH. Germanv

Numerical Design Tools Optimize Agitators, Vessels and Internals to Ensure Safe and **Economic Plant Operation**

Wolfgang Keller, Jochen Jung, Nicole Rohn & Marc Stadtaus EKATO RMT, Germany

Mortars for Acid Resistant Brick Linings in Hydrometallurgical Applications Kevin Brooks, Koch Knight LLC, USA, presented by Mark Martin, Koch Australia

Hydroprocessing of Sulphides Forum

Key Note Address, Hydroprocessing of Sulphides: Where Are We and Where Are We Going? David Dreisinger, University of British Colombia, Canada

Bacterial Leaching at Elevated pH Using BioHeap[™] Technology Jason Fewings & Shawn Seet, Western Areas NL, Australia

High Temperature Heap Leaching of Ni, Cu & Co and Platinum, Group Metals from South African Platreef Concentrates Coated on Gravel

Jacques Eksteen, Curtin University, Australia, Jochen Petersen, University of Cape Town; Steven Bradshaw & Guven Akdogan, University of Stellenbosch, South Africa

Bulk Nickel-Copper Concentrates: A Comparison of Two Hydrometallurgical Processing Options David Jones, Teck Resources Limited/CESL Limited, Canada

The Application and Economic Consideration of NSC Pressure Oxidation to Combined Copper Molybdenum Concentrates

Corby Anderson, Colorado School of Mines, Todd Fayram, Continental Metallurgical Services & Larry Twidwell, Montana Tech, USA

Developments In The Treatment Of Copper-Arsenic Concentrates Ken Baxter & Iman Ghanad, SNC-Lavalin, Australia & David Dixon, University of British Columbia, Canada

Application of Mixed Chloride Technology for the Recovery of TiO₂ and Base Metals Vaikuntam Lakshmanan, Ram Sridhar & Raja Roy, Process Research Ortech Inc., Canada

Development of a Versatile HCI-Leach Based Metal Extraction Technology Stack Dieter Vogl, Christian Haidinger, Benedikt Nowak & Herbert Weissenbaeck, SMS Siemag Process Technologies GmbH, Germany

Kell Hydrometallurgical Process for Extraction of Platinum Group and Base Metals from Flotation Concentrates - An Update

Keith Liddell, Lifezone Ltd, Switzerland & Mike Adams, Mutis Liber Pty Ltd, Australia

ALTA 2012 NICKEL/COBALT/COPPER

ALTA 2012 Free Pager

HYDROPROCESSING OF SULPHIDES FORUM

ALTA 2012 Free Pager BACTERIAL LEACHING AT ELEVATED pH USING BIOHEAP™ TECHNOLOGY

By

Jason Fewings & Shawn Seet

Western Areas NL, Australia

Presenter and Corresponding Author

Jason Fewings

jfewings@westernareas.com.au

ABSTRACT

Many low grade nickel sulphide ores are hosted in gangue containing high levels of magnesium silicates, which consequently consume a large amount of sulphuric acid in conventional bacterial leaching scenarios where solution pH's below 2 are required. BioHeap has developed a saline tolerant bacterial culture using patented methods, that is capable of operating at elevated pH's at levels where ferric iron precipitates out of solution. Operating at elevated pH has the dual advantage of significantly reducing the acid consumption and reducing limestone demand for iron disposal.

Further work is planned to critically compare the performance of the high pH culture against cultures operating at conventional pH and to expand the range of cultures that are capable of operating at high pH.

Introduction of Western Areas and BioHeap

ALTA 2012 Free Paper Western Areas is an Australian-based nickel miner listed on the ASX and TSX. The main asset is the 100% owned Forrestania Nickel Project, located 400km east of Perth. The company's two high grade mines, Flying Fox and Spotted Quoll, are two of the lowest cost nickel mines in the world.

> Flying Fox is a high grade nickel deposit that produced 81,143 tonnes of Ore at 5.3% nickel for 4,278 tonnes of contained nickel, in the March 2012 guarter. Spotted Quoll is Western Areas second mine located 6km to the south of Flying Fox and consisting of an open pit and underground mine. The total ore reserves at Spotted Quoll comprise 1.67Mt at an average grade of 4.1% nickel containing approximately 67,870 tonnes of nickel.

> Production from the Tim King pit for the March 2012 quarter was 57,207 tonnes of ore at 4.0% nickel for 2,280 tonnes of contained nickel. The Spotted Quoll underground mine production for the same period was 23,651 tonnes of ore at 4.5% nickel for 1,044 tonnes of contained nickel.

> Flying Fox and Spotted Quoll are the main feed sources for Western Areas' Cosmic Boy nickel concentrator which produces high grade nickel concentrate for sale in Australia and China. Sales from the Cosmic Boy concentrator during the March guarter totalled 57,363 tonnes of concentrate containing 8154 tonnes of nickel.

> Western Areas' other assets include 19.9% of Canadian nickel company. Mustang Minerals Corp. 75 % of Finnish nickel company, Finn Aust Mining Plc and 100% of the BioHeap Sulphide leaching technology.

> Western Areas acquired BioHeap in 2009, including the technology, intellectual property and key personnel. The BioHeap technology utilizes microbes for leaching of base metal sulphides, including primary copper, nickel and zinc sulphides as well as refractory gold ores. BioHeap has been successful in developing proprietary bacterial cultures that address the needs of the industry through its extensive research and development program for more than the last decade.

> The results of this research program are a wide range of cultures that can leach primary sulphides (including chalcopyrite) over a wide range of temperatures (15°C - 95°C) in fresh, saline and hypersaline water, up to 200 g/L TDS. Arsenic tolerant variants are also available for treating high arsenic ores and work is continuing on cost reduction and broadening the range of conditions over which the technology is suited. The success of the bacterial development work and practical experiences have resulted in a number of patents that have being filed by BioHeap Ltd. These patents cover areas such as bacterial assisted heap leaching of sulphides minerals including chalcopyrite, to adaptation and improvement of bacteria used in the leaching process. This paper aims to demonstrate one of the recent advances in the BioHeap technology.

EXISTING LEACHING CONDITIONS

Bioleaching is a process whereby microorganisms catalyze the dissolution of valuable metals from ores into solution. In general, the dissolution of mineral sulfides in bacterial systems, is the results of ferric iron and proton attack via the polysulfide pathway (Rohwerder et al., 2008). The conditions for such a reaction to occur are generally dictated by the ability of the microorganism to catalyze the leaching process efficiently.

Previous studies have demonstrated that bioleaching of mineral sulfides occurs favorably at pH <3 (Halinen et al., 2009), with majority of heap bioleaching processes of low grade ore are treated with a solution pH between 1.5 to 2.5 (Plumb et al., 2008). At such pH conditions, the bio-oxidation acidophilic microorganisms convert ferrous ion to ferric ion which is needed for the leaching of sulfide minerals.

Bioleaching of materials at a high pH range has been studied in the past (Cameron, et al., 2009a; Cameron, et al., 2009b; Cameron, et al., 2010; Halinen et al., 2009; Plumb et al., 2008) .The majority of results published suggested that beyond a pH of 2 the rate of leaching is significantly decreased and the percentage of metals recovery is reduced (Plumb et al., 2008). Halinen et al., 2009 reported the rate of bioleaching of nickel and zinc at pH 1.5 is 3-4 times faster than the rate of leaching observed at pH 3. It is suggested that the lack of dissolved ferric ion and diffusion barriers created by iron oxide precipitates minimized the rate of leaching at pH 2.5 to 3.

XTA2012FreePaper Interestingly, Cameron et al. (2009a; 2009b; 2010), has successfully demonstrated bioleaching of low-grade ultramafic nickel suphide ore at temperature 30 °C and 45 °C at pH 3 by mixed microbial culture previously adapted to the ore. The success of Cameron's studies may be largely contributed to the adaptating of the microbes to specific conditions and feed materials prior to the bioleaching procedure. The use of adapted microorganisms prior to leaching can enhance the leaching efficiency by 2 to 4 times when compared to unadapted culture (Li and Ke, 2001a; Li and Ke, 2001b).

WHY DO WE WANT TO LEACH AT HIGHER PH?

Bioleaching of metal sulphides at extreme pH (<2) can result in the dissolution of gangue materials. Undesirably cations, such as aluminium, manganese, amorphous silica and specifically, excessive ferric, can further result in releasing toxic trace elements, thickening of leach liquor thus potentially interfering with liquid flow in heap leaching, formation of passivation of sulphide minerals by jarosite formation or can be problematic during recovery of base metals during refining (Dopso et al., 2009, Halinen et al., 2009). Final effluents from bioleaching operation generally have to be neutralized and to remove iron and sulphate as stable end products by the addition of limestone or lime to increase of the pH of effluent to approximately 3. Therefore, bioleaching of metals at high pH may potentially improve the downstream metals recovery processes, as well as reducing the operating costs.

The mineralogy of the specific ore can have a significant impact on the acid consumption properties and solution composition of the bacterial leaching system. Depending on the composition of the feed sample, the dissolution of mineral sulfides can be classified as either acid-producing or acid consuming. The majority of the gangue present in most ore samples, include magnesium silicates, and are acid consuming (Rawlings et al., 2003; Strömberg and Banwart, 1999). Therefore, maintaining of solution pH within a desirable range with the addition of sulfuric acid can be a major cost during a bioleaching operation (Watling, 2006). The application of pre-leaching of ore containing high levels of magnesium gangue prior to the bioleaching phase has been shown to reduce time required to stabilize the pH level between 1.7 - 2.2 (Zhen et al., 2009; Qin et al., 2009) . However, such a method has resulted in higher overall acid consumption at greater than 600 g kg ore (Zhen et al., 2009; Qin et al., 2009). Halinen et. al. (2009) has demonstrated that an increase of pH from 1.5 to 2.0 during the column leaching of a particular black schist ore can reduce the acid consumption from 160 g kg⁻¹ ore to 38 g kg⁻¹ ore.

BIOHEAP DEVELOPMENT PROGRAM

Methodology

Bacterial

For development of cultures capable of operating at high pH's, it was advantageous to select a starting culture that was not dependent on high ferric levels for leaching to progress. Both BioHeap's chalcopyrite and saline tolerant cultures naturally operate in low ferric environments at conventional pH's (below 2), and the saline culture was chosen as the starting point, as it also operates in low total iron environments due to tendency of the iron to precipitate in the highly saline environment. As ferric iron begins to precipitate beyond pH 3 due to exceeding the solubility product, in a similar manner that precipitation is noted in BioHeap's saline culture, this culture was thought to be the best match to the proposed leaching conditions. Using BioHeap's patented procedures, this culture was then adapted to operate at higher pH's.

BioHeap culture, Ni-S-J065B, was used in these studies. The cultures were grown in 3L stirred tank reactors and were maintained on nickel concentrate suspended in water. The desirable pH of the solution was achieved by addition of concentrated sulphuric acid. A customised water bath was used to regulate the temperature in the bioreactor at approximately 55 °C and aeration was provided to the culture by compressed air introduced into the mixing zone of the reactor

Prior to it use, Ni-S-J065B was adapted to saline condition of 100g/L Cl- (115 g/L TDS). , and a sub-culture of Ni-S-J065B was gradually adapted to a solution pH of 3.5. To distinguish the newly developed culture from the original inoculum, it was renamed to Ni-S-J069B.

Sample Preparation of High Magnesia Ore

ALTA 2012 Free Paper A high magnesia ore sample, J062A, was split using rotary splitter to provide samples as feed for bacterial development work and metallurgical analysis. A Head sample of the ore was assayed for Ni, Co, Zn, Cu, Fe, Al, Ca, Mg, Mn, S (Total), S (Elemental), S₂- (Sulphide), SO₄²⁻, C (Total), CO₃²⁻, and an ICP Scan.

> Acid consumption studies were carried out on sample crushed to P100= 9.5mm using a bottle roll technique. Concentrated sulphuric acid was used to maintain the solution at pH 1.8 to simulate conventional bioleaching conditions. Acid addition was recorded and used to calculate the total quantity of acid consumed by the ore.

Results

Head Grade and Mineralogical Characterization

Head grade assay revealed that the ore, J062A contains 0.68% nickel, 11.30% iron and 20.10% magnesium. Mineralogical analysis indicated the main nickel bearing sulphide to be pentlandite at 2.18% of the total sample. Chalcopyrite is the other economic sulphide with a concentration of 0.14%. The main sulphide gangue occurs as pyrrhotite (2.18%). Magnesium is found in the form of forsterite (56.1 %), edenite (18.8%), clinochlore (7.9%) and dolomite (2.9%). There appears to be a low level of liberation of nickel sulphides, however at the 3mm crush size the majority of the grains have some exposure to the surface of the particle, indicating potential for leaching.

Table 1: Head Grade of Ore Sample

Element	Ni	Fe	Mg
Grades (%)	0.68	11.30	20.10

Acid Consumption Test based using Bottle Roll

In order to understand the acid consumption characteristic of the ore under bioleaching solution acid consumption tests using a bottle roll technique at pH 1.8 was carried on ore crushed to 100% passing 9.5 mm. Figure 1 shows that the solution pH took 54 days to reach a value of 1.8 and consumed \sim 311 kg of 98% H₂SO₄ per tone of ore. The ore sample continued to consume significant quantity of acid when the pH condition was maintained at value of 1.8. Upon termination of the study on day 119 (2856 hrs) the ore sample reported an acid consumption value of 558 kg t-1 ore.



Figure 1: Acid consumption study on ore sample crushed to P₁₀₀ = 9.5 mm and pH value of the solution maintained at bio-leaching condition of 1.8

Bacterial Leaching Study

The bioleaching of J062A ore was carried out at a temperature of 55 °C at various solution pH values. Preliminary results indicated that nickel recoveries between all cultures were similar (Figure 2 A). Cultures leaching at pH of 3.5 were able to obtain a slightly higher nickel recovery in contrast to those operating at solution pH's less than 3.5 and comparable to the result obtained at pH 1.8. At solution pH's of 1.8 and 3.5, the cultures were able to leach more than 75% of the nickel in the ore. Cultures maintained at pH 2.8 and 3 obtained the least nickel recovery at approximately 56.7% and 67.9%, respectively.



Figure 2: Percentage of nickel recovered from bioleaching of ore sample J062 containing high MgO using standard culture Ni-S-J065 and high pH adapted culture (Ni-S-J069) under saline condition (100 g/L Cl) at solution pH of 1.8, 2.8, 3 and 3.5

Redox potential measurements throughout the study revealed that the readings fluctuated during the first 20 days in all cultures before increasing and stablising at a constant value (Figure 3). At the end of the study, cultures grown at pH 1.8 and 2.8 reported an ORP value of 434 mV and 413 mV v's Ag/AgCl, respectively. For cultures maintained at pH 3 and 3.5 redox potential was found to be in the range of 380 to 395 mV v's Ag/AgCl.

The concentration of total iron in solution monitored during the experiment clearly shows the effects of pH on the iron in solution. At end of the study the total amount of dissolved iron in solution for the culture grown in pH 1.8 solution was 2.10 g L-1. The tests conducted at pH values of 2.8 and 3 showed a significant decrease of dissolved iron, with a final concentration of approximately 117 mg L-1 and 82 mg L-1 of total iron, respectively. Minimal iron was found to remain in solution for the culture grown at pH 3.5 (\leq 4 mg L-1).



Figure 3: Redox potential (v Ag/AgCl) recorded during leaching of ore sample J062 containing high MgO using culture Ni-S-J065 and high pH adapted culture (Ni-S-J069) under saline condition (100 g/L Cl) at solution pH of 1.8, 2.8, 3 and 3.5





(B)



Figure 3: Total iron in solution from bioleaching of ore sample J062 containing high MgO using standard culture Ni-S-J065 and high pH adapted culture (Ni-S-J069) under saline condition (100 g/L CI) at solution pH of 1.8 (A) and at pH 2.8, 3, 3.5 (B)

Sulphuric acid used to maintain the solution pH for each of the cultures was recorded during the study and used as a method of comparing acid consumptions between the tests. Preliminary analysis revealed that the cumulative quantity of acid needed to maintain the solution pH at low pH, is greater than for those tests at higher pH. The test at pH 1.8 recorded an acid consumption of

ATA 2012 Free Paper 736 kg of acid per ton of ore. The quantity of acid required at pH 2.8 and 3 were 163 kg/t and 91 kg/t, respectively. At pH 3.5 acid addition was between 11 and 22 times less than those reported at pH 1.8.

It should be noted that acid consumptions are comparatively higher compared to the bottle roll test above due mainly to the much finer particle size of the leach test, compared with the bottle roll test.



Figure 4: Total cumulative quantity of acid added to each culture in order to maintain the pH at the respective value of 1.8 2.8, 3 and 3.5

FUTURE WORK

A follow up testwork program is planned to critically compare the performance of the high pH bacteria developed during this study with bacteria that operate at standard pH, as well as to expand the pH range of the existing BioHeap[™] cultures.

CONCLUSIONS

A microbial culture capable of leaching at high pH, and in saline solution of 100 g/L Cl⁻ was developed using BioHeap's patented procedures. The preliminary results have shown the culture is capable of leaching ore containing high levels of magnesium with solution pH values of 3 to 3.5 resulting in nickel recovery greater than 70%. Under these conditions, initial test work has indicated low levels of iron in solution and the acid consumption was reduced significantly, from approximately 736 kg t⁻¹ of ore at pH 1.8 to 33 kg t⁻¹ of ore at pH 3.5.

REFERENCES

- 1. Cameron, R.A., Lastra, R., Mortazavi, S., Bedard, P.L., Morin, L., Gould, W.D., Kennedy, K.J., 2009a. Bioleaching of a low-grade ultramafic nickel sulphide ore in stirred-tank reactors at elevated pH. Hydrometallurgy 97, 213-220.
- 2. Cameron, R.A., Lastra, R., Mortazavi, S., Gould, W.D., Thibault, Y., Bedard, P.L., Morin, L., Kennedy, K.J., 2009b. Elevated-pH bioleaching of a low-grade ultramafic nickel sulphide ore in stirred-tank reactors at 5 to 45 °C. Hydrometallurgy 99, 77-83.

- ATA 2012 Free Paper 3. Cameron, R.A., Yeung, C.W., Greer, C.W., Gould, W.D., Mortazavi, S., Bedard, P.L., Morin, L., Lortie, L., Dinardo, O., Kennedy, K.J., 2010. The bacterial community structure during bioleaching of a low-grade nickel sulphide ore in stirred-tank reactors at different combinations of temperature and pH. Hydrometallurgy 104, 207-215.
 - 4. Dopson, M., Lövgren, L., Boström, D., 2009. Silicate mineral dissolution in the presence of acidophilic microorganisms: Implications for heap bioleaching. Hydormetallurgy 96, 288-293.
 - 5. Halien, A. -k., Rahunen, N., Kaksonen, A., Puhakka, J.A., 2009. Heap bioleaching of a complex sulfide ore: Part I. Effect of pH on metal extraction and microbial composition in pH controlled columns. Hydrometallurgy 98, 92-100.
 - 6. Li, H., Ke, J., 2001a. Influence of Ni2+ and Mg2+ on the growth and activity of Cu²⁺-adapted thiobacillus ferrooxidans. Hydrometallurgy 61, 151–156.
 - Li, H., Ke, J., 2001b. Influence of Cu₂₊ and Mg²⁺ on the growth and activity of Ni²⁺-adapted thiobacillus ferrooxidans. Minerals Engineering 14, 113–116.
 - Plumb, J.J., Muddle, R., Franzmann, P.D., 2008. Effect of pH on rates of iron and sulfur 8. oxidation by bioleaching organisms. Minerals Engineering 21, 76-82.
 - 9. Qin, W., Zhen, S., Yan, Z., Campbell, M., Wang, J., Wang, J., Liu, K., Zhang, Y., 2009. Heap bioleaching of a low-grade nickel-bearing sulfide ore containing high levels of magnesium as olivine, chlorite and antigorite. Hydormetallurgy 98, 58-65.
 - 10. Rawlings, D.E., Dew, D., du Plessis, C., 2003. Biomineralization of metal-containing ores and concentrates. Trends Biotechnology 21, 38-44.
 - 11. Rohwerder, T., Gehrke, T., Kinzler, K., Sand, W., 2003 Bioleaching review part A: Progress in bioleaching: fundamentals and mechanisms of bacterial metal sulfide oxidation. Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology 63, 239-248.
 - 12. Ströberg, B., Banwart, S.A., 1999. Experimental study of acidity- consuming processes in mining waste rock: some influences of mineralogy and particle size. Appl. Geochem. 14, 1-16.
 - 13. Watling, H.R., 2006. The bioleaching of sulphide minerals with emphasis on copper sulphides. A review. Hydrometallurgy. 84, 81-108.
 - 14. Zhen, S., Yan, Z., Zhang, Y., Wang, J., Campbell, M., Qin, W., 2009. Column bioleaching of a low grade nickel-bearing sulfide ore containing high magnesium as olivine, chlorite and antigorite. Hydrometallurgy. 96, 337-341.